2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 7 ERIC RICHARD TOWNSEL. 8 9 Petitioner, CASE NO. C16-5305 RBL-KLS 10 ORDER FOR SERVICE AND v. ANSWER, § 2254 PETITION DONALD HOLBROOK, 11 Respondent. 12 13 This is a federal habeas action filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner is currently 14 incarcerated at the Washington State Penitentiary and is subject to the Court's Mandatory 15 Electronic E-Filing Pilot Project pursuant to General Order 02-15. The Court, having reviewed 16 petitioner's federal habeas petition, hereby finds and **ORDERS** as follows: 17 (1) The Clerk shall arrange for service by certified mail upon respondent and upon 18 the Attorney General of the State of Washington, of copies of the petition, of all documents in 19 support thereof, and of this Order. The Clerk shall also direct a copy of this Order and of the 20 Court's pro se instruction sheet to petitioner. 21 (2) Within forty-five (45) days after such service, respondent(s) shall file and serve an 22 answer in accordance with Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in United States 23 ORDER FOR SERVICE AND ANSWER, § 2254 PETITION - 1

District Courts. As part of such answer, respondent(s) shall state whether petitioner has exhausted available state remedies and whether an evidentiary hearing is necessary.

Respondent(s) shall not file a dispositive motion in place of an answer without first showing cause as to why an answer is inadequate. Respondent(s) shall file the answer with the Clerk of the Court and serve a copy of the answer on petitioner.

(3) The answer will be treated in accordance with LCR 7. Accordingly, on the face

of the answer, respondent(s) shall note it for consideration on the fourth Friday after filing.

Petitioner may file and serve a response not later than the Monday immediately preceding the Friday designated for consideration of the matter, and respondent(s) may file and serve a reply not later than the Friday designated for consideration of the matter.

(4) <u>Filing by Parties, Generally</u>

All attorneys admitted to practice before this Court are required to file documents electronically via the Court's CM/ECF system. Petitioner shall file all documents electronically. All filings must indicate in the upper right hand corner the name of the magistrate judge to whom the document is directed.

Any document filed with the Court must be accompanied by proof that it has been served upon all parties that have entered a notice of appearance in the underlying matter. Petitioner shall indicate the date the document is submitted for e-filing as the date of service.

(5) <u>Motions</u>

Any request for court action shall be set forth in a motion, properly filed and served.

Pursuant to LCR 7(b), any argument being offered in support of a motion shall be submitted as a part of the motion itself and not in a separate document. The motion shall include in its caption (immediately below the title of the motion) a designation of the date the motion is to be noted for

consideration on the Court's motion calendar. (6) Direct Communications with District Judge or Magistrate Judge No direct communication is to take place with the District Judge or Magistrate Judge with regard to this case. All relevant information and papers are to be directed to the Clerk. Dated this 9th day of May, 2016. Karen L. Strombom United States Magistrate Judge

ORDER FOR SERVICE AND ANSWER, § 2254 PETITION - 3